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| **Humanities Core: Worldbuilding****Grading Rubric for Essay 4: Comparative Historical Analysis of Archival Primary Sources on Communist Worldbuilding** |
|  | **Argumentation** | **Organization** | **Methodology** | **Evidence** | **Language and Mechanics**  |
| **A** | Essay is controlled by a specific, arguable, and complex thesis that reflects a sophisticated, nuanced, and/or original interpretation of the topic; argumentation is unified and coherent throughout essay | Sequencing of ideas is not only logical but adds to the rhetorical impact of the essay; paragraph structure is dynamically linked to topic sentences and the main thesis of the essay; transitions create momentum; introduction and conclusion actively engage the reader and convey a sense of purpose and broader implication to the inquiry  | Specific evidence drawn from observations about time, geographic location, historical actors, purpose/audience, genre/medium, cultural perspectives, and power relationships in primary sources supports and accentuates overall interpretation; comparison of two primary sources is original, insightful, and complex | Evidence is insightfully selected from primary and secondary sources and artfully integrated and explained using summary, paraphrase, and quotation; proper MLA citation practice is followed in the body text and in the Works Cited page | Virtually no errors in word choice, grammar, or orthography; exhibits style |
| **B** | Essay is controlled by a specific, arguable, and complex thesis that reflects a proficient interpretation of the topic; argumentation is largely unified and coherent throughout essay | Sequencing of ideas is logical and effective, leading to essay-level cohesion; each paragraph is unified and organized around a topic sentence linked to the main thesis; transitions between paragraphs are indicated both formally and conceptually; introduction and conclusion are rhetorically effective | Evidence drawn from observations about time, geographic location, historical actors, purpose/audience, genre/medium, cultural perspectives, and power relationships supports overall interpretation; comparison of two primary sources is apt and grounded in understanding of context | Evidence is well-selected from primary and secondary sources, properly integrated using summary, paraphrase, and quotation, and explained when necessary; proper MLA citation practice is followed in the body text and in the Works Cited page | Few errors in word choice, grammar, and/or orthography and none that impede clarity of ideas; exhibits attention to proofreading and formatting |
| **C** | Essay may be controlled by a factual statement, but the articulation of the central idea is inarguable and/or lacks specificity and/or complexity; argumentation may lack unity or coherence in parts of the essay | Some lapses or digressions from the logical sequencing of ideas; topic sentences govern the construction of most paragraphs, but some are vague or less unified; some transitions between paragraphs are artificial or unconvincing; introduction and conclusion are adequate but unengaging | Essay may inadequately identify aspects of time, geographic location, historical actors, purpose/audience, genre/medium, cultural perspectives, and/or power relationships; comparison and/or contextualization of two primary sources may be underdeveloped | Evidence is mostly well-selected from primary and secondary sources, but may be ineffectively integrated in summary, paraphrase or quotation and/or lack explanation; MLA citation practice is largely consistent, but may contain some errors in the body text or Works Cited page | Some errors in word choice, grammar, and/or orthography may be present but rarely impede clarity of ideas; exhibits some attention to proofreading and formatting |
| **D** | Essay may exhibit a general idea, but its articulation is non-factual, inarguable, vague, and/or undefined; essay as a whole lacks unity or coherence in argumentation | Logical sequencing of ideas hampered by major lapses or digressions; topic sentences absent or ineffective in paragraph construction; transitions between paragraphs are absent; introduction and conclusion are underdeveloped | Essay fails either to identify relevant components of time, geographic location, historical actors, purpose/audience, genre/medium, cultural perspectives, and/or power relationships or to adopt methods appropriate to the task of comparative historical analysis | Evidence is poorly selected for the purpose of the argument; essay merely lists evidence, contains unnecessary repetitions, or leaves evidence unexplained; significant errors present in MLA citation practice | Errors in word choice, grammar, and/or orthography are frequent and/or impede clarity of ideas; little or no attention to proofreading and formatting |
| **F** | Essay exhibits no discernable central idea; little or no logical advancement of argument | Essay exhibits no logical sequencing, academic paragraph structure, transitions, introduction, and/or conclusion | Essay fails both to identify relevant components of time, geographic location, historical actors, purpose/audience, genre/medium, cultural perspectives, and/or power relationships as well as to adopt methods appropriate to the task of comparative historical analysis | Essay does not provide evidence in support of argument; responsible citation practices are absent | Persistent errors in word choice, grammar, and orthography; no attention to proofreading and formatting |